# Impact Analysis Report / RFC Proposal

**Section 1: Meta-data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RFC ID** | **RFC\_AES\_0149 (JIRA: UCCAES-2099)** |
| **Related Incident ID** | **N/A** |
| **RFC Initiator / Organization** | **DG TAXUD/B1&B3** |
| **CI** | **AES-P1 (DDNXA-5.15.1-v1.00 Main Document)** |
| **Type of Change** | **Standard** **Emergency** |
| **Nature of Change** | Justification for Evolutive   |  | | --- | | To adapt the DDNXA to the new reality of some few NAs running over the deadline of 01.12.2023. Update of the UCC Working Programme & IRTA. | |
| **RFC Source** | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Legal & Policy Change**  **Organisational Changes** | **Business Change**  **IT Change** | |
| **Review by Business User recommended?** | **Yes No** |

***Change Summary***

|  |
| --- |
| **AES-P1 DDNXA-5.15.1-v1.00 - (Main Document & Appendix C): Technical translation of the latest revision of the UCC Work Programme and IRTA. Defining at technical level the new deadlines included in the (draft) update of the UCC Work Programme. Update of CL990 data in CS/RD2 CONF and CS/RD2 PROD.** |
| In full alignment to the current UCC WP legal provisions, it is planned that on **01.12.2023** the National Administrations (NAs) manage the transition from “AES-P1\_Transitional Period” to “AES-P1\_Final Implementation” by simply applying the transitional rules (TRT&BRT) that will be enforcing new constraints or will be relaxing temporary constraints.  Though the majority of NAs would be ready for this transition, a limited number of NAs have announced that their NECA-AES application will not be (fully) ready to start operating as an AES-P1 country.  In addition, there are indications that not all Traders in all countries **will be ready for AES-P1**, which requires specific actions in those countries to ensure the continuity of operations such as the parallel running of the legacy and new systems at National Level (i.e, to avoid any impact on their ‘Legacy’ traders) after the 01.12.2023.  A/  This RFC defines how to ensure - at technical level – that the goods can be moved in Europe under the export procedure without facing operational discontinuity issues after 01.12.2023.  This will be achieved by maintaining further – without any change - the initially defined transitional rules as operational continuity rules in the Common Domain and in the External Domain until the date defined by the UCC Work Programme for the completion of the AES project as a whole. Concretely, this will be done by updating the technical value of ‘TPendDate’ in the Codelist CL990 of CS/RD2 - with the date defined by the UCC Work Programme and IRTA (i.e., 11.02.2025)  This change will:   * offer to all NAs the required operational continuity; * manage - without changing the technical specifications – the split of the scope between CORE functionalities (= step 1 defined in *UCC WP Revision – draft version 3.00 - Annex[[1]](#footnote-2)*) and NON-CORE functionalities (= step 2 and step 3); * avoid any technical impact on all the NAs that will be ready before the end of the initially defined Transitional Period (i.e. 01.12.2023).   B/  This RFC amends the **Communication Protocol after 01.12.2023 until the end of the Transitional Period**, by fostering the use of the “To Be” protocol for all exchanges between two “To Be” NAs.  C/  Finally, this RFC also lists the Core and Non-Core functions introduced in the *UCC WP Revision – draft version 3.00 - Annex*. |

**Section 2: Problem Statement**

|  |
| --- |
| The agreed date for all NAs to enter the final UCC compliant operation is no later than 01.12.2023, as defined by the current UCC Work Programme [decision 2019/2151/EU] (this date is also included in the MASP-C).  The **draft** **revision 3 of the UCC WP1** defines that the deadline of 01.12.2023 remains applicable for the core functionalities while the non-core functionalities will be implemented at a later date, but not later than 02.12.2024. Note that the Transitional Delegated Act [Commission Delegated Regulation No 2016/341 and amendments including the latest one: Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2021/234] will remain applicable for AES until 11.02.2025.  In this context, it will be necessary to manage:   * “To Be” countries with both CORE and NON-CORE functionalities implemented by 01.12.2023,   + Either with all their traders operating as “To Be” traders per AES-P1, lodging export declarations compatible with the provisions of the TDA;   + Or with a number of “Legacy” traders operating only as per ECS-P2 (in case of progressive on-boarding of traders); * “To Be” countries with only the **core** functionalities implemented by 01.12.2023,   + Either with all their traders operating as “To Be” traders per AES-P1, lodging export declarations compatible with the provisions of the TDA;   + Or with a number of “Legacy” traders operating only as per ECS-P2 (in case of progressive on-boarding of traders); * a limited number of countries having **not yet implemented the core** functionalities and still operating (with all their traders) the ‘Legacy’ National Export Application after the 01.12.2023 (for a limited period of time).   If nothing is changed, the countries running over the deadline of 01.12.2023 would be unable to exchange messages with all the countries operating AES-P1 by that date.  To ensure the operational continuity of export in Europe, it is required that the exchange of messages between a ‘Legacy’ NA and a ‘To Be’ NA (or between two ‘Legacy’ NAs) remains possible until the date defined by the UCC Work Programme, alias the end of the AES project.  Practically, **the solution currently applied remains the only possible solution to resolve the identified incompatibility issues between ECS-P2 and AES-P1**. Consequently, until (the *end of the Transitional Period, being modified* by the UCC WP) the following must be applicable:   * All the transitional measures (in the form of BRTs/TRTs) in the **Common Domain** must be continued/extended unchanged after 01.12.2023; * All the transitional measures (in the form of BRTs/TRTs) in the External Domain must be continued/extended unchanged after 01.12.2023.This solution offers the benefit of **guaranteed operational continuity and alignment to the legal texts (in particular with the TDA), for export with no extra efforts for applying the latest revision of the UCC Work Programme and IRTA, including for the countries (and their traders) already operating AES-P1 processes earlier than 01.12.2023**.   The date defined in the UCC WP and IRTA for the expiration of the TRT/BRT1 and the activation of the BRT2, should replace the current value of 'TPendDate’ registered in the Codelist CL990 of CS/RD2 and must be seen as the new **technical validity** date of the transitional rules**, to ensure the operational continuity of export operations**.  As defined in the UCC Work Programme and IRTA, ALL traders must be migrated and operating as **“To Be” Traders as defined by each National Administrations (and it cannot be after 02.12.2024)**.  In this context, it should be reminded explicitly in the DDNXA Main Document that it is required **that all ‘“To Be” NAs and their traders must implement all defined BRTs/TRTs in their systems along with the Transition Handler**, regardless of their planned date of entry in operations. This will safeguard that any messages sent by the NAs or Traders running over the 01.12.2023 step 1 deadline will be correctly processed, and it will avoid that unnecessary rejections will take place. Moreover, it should be also specified that **the existing tool for conversion developed by DG TAXUD (i.e., the ieCA application, operated centrally or nationally) or any nationally developed convertor put in place by the “To Be” NAs shall be maintained** during the extended Transitional Period, to facilitate the smooth conversion of messages from ‘Legacy’ to ‘To Be’ format and vice versa.  Considering the above elements, the Section IV (**AES-P1 Transitional Scenarios**) of the DDNXA-5.15.1-v1.00 (Main Document), should be slightly adapted to describe the raising situation and the applicable Protocol for the Common Domain exchanges between 01.12.2023 and the end of the Transitional Period.  **Impacted IEs**: N/A  **Impacted R/C/G/T/TRT/BRT/CL:** N/A  **Impacted CIs Artefacts**:   * UCC IA/DA Annex B: No. * Functional Specifications AES-P1 (FSS/BPM): 5.30.2: No. * CSE-v51.8.2: No. * DDCOM-20.4.0-v1.00: No. * **DDNXA-5.15.1-v1.00 (Main Document): Yes**. * **CS/RD2\_DATA: Yes.** * DMP Package-v5.8.0-v1.00: No. * CTS-5.8.1-v1.00: No. * ACS Main Document: v5.8.0-v1.00 & ACS Annex for AES: 5.8.0-v1.00: No. * AES\_CTP-5.10.0-v1.00: No. * AES\_TRP-5.13.x: No * **AES\_CRP-5.8.x: Yes.** (to change the date of 01.12.2023) * ieCA 1.0.4.1: No. * AES-P1 and NCTS-P5 Long-Lived “Legacy” (L3) Movements Study v1.50-v1.00: No. * CS/MIS2\_DATA: No. |

**Section 3: Description of the proposed solution**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| The following changes are effective as soon as the RFC-List.38 is approved by ECCG.  The **DDNXA and DDCOM will not be re-published** to document only this RFC-List.38.  **All National Teams are invited to consider that the Technical Specifications applicable from 01.12.2023 are:**   * **the DDNXA-5.15.1-v1.00, and** * **the approved version of this RFC-List.38.**   It shall be communicated by all NAs to all their traders as early as possible.  The **DDNXA-5.15.2-v1.00-(SfA-NPM)** and **DDCOM-20.4.1-v1.00-(SfA-NPM)** will be published with alignment to RFC-List.38 and the RFC-List.39 details.  A/  In **CS/RD2 CONF** and **CS/RD2 PROD in ‘CL990 - TransitionalDate' (applicability AES)**:  The entry “TPendDate” will be defined as follows *(to be aligned with the date to be approved in the UCC WP & IRTA):*  *ID of Transitional Period =* ***TP\_ECS\_P2\_AES***  *Label of Transitional Date =* ***TPendDate***  *Name of Legacy Phase =* ***ECS-P2***  *Name of To-Be Phase =* ***AES-P1***  *Start date and time of transition (UTC) =* ***2021-03-01T12:00:00***  *End date and time of transition (UTC) =* ***~~2024-12-02T23:59:59~~ 2025-02-11T00:00:00***  *Definition =* ***The technical transitional period during which a country can send and receive ‘Legacy’ messages (i.e. ECS-P2) while other countries can send and receive ‘To-Be’ messages (i.e. AES-P1), to ensure business continuity’. "TPendDate" is used as per DDCOM and DDNXA to activate or de-activate a number of features.***  *Explanation =* ***The “TPendDate” is explained in DDNXA RFC-List.38.***  *Language code = EN*  The above changes were applied after the ECCG of 5-6 October 2023, with **validity date 12.10.2023**.  B/  The **DDNXA-5.15.1-v1.00 (Main Document)** is adapted as follows (addition of text highlight in yellow, removal of ~~text with strikethrough~~):   1. The section ***I.2.1 Applicable documents*** will be modified on page 25:   The reference A5 to ‘UCC WP’  [Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2019/2151 of 13 December 2019 establishing the work programme relating to the development and deployment of the electronic systems provided for in the Union Customs Code](http://data.europa.eu/eli/dec_impl/2019/2151/oj) of 16/12/2019.  will be updated with the legal reference of the **updated UCC Work Programme**, after it is published in the Official Journal.  The reference to the **IRTA** ([Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2023/1070 of 1 June 2023 on technical arrangements for developing, maintaining and employing electronic systems for the exchange and storage of information under Regulation (EU) No 952/2013 of the European Parliament and the Council](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32023R1070)) will also be added.   1. In the section ***IV AES Transitional Scenarios*** , the first paragraph of section IV will be adapted as follows:   This section provides the principles to be applied by NAs implementing AES-P1 functionality **during the Transitional Period.**  In addition, it provides the outcome of transitional analysis and the definition of necessary scenarios (and Time Sequence Diagrams, and State Transition Diagrams, if any) which shall be applied during the Transitional Period (hereafter Transitional Scenarios).  In the whole DDNXA, the expression ‘**Transitional Period**’ covers the period defined in the UCC Work Programme [A5], as ‘deployment window’, technically defined in CS/RD2 - CL990[TransitionalDate] where the value of the parameter ‘TPendDate’ is maintained.  This section also explains the concepts of step 1 (core functions) and step 2 and step 3 (non-core functions) applied during the Transitional Period, along with the Protocol for Common Domain exchanges that defines the rules of interoperability between the NAs having deployed their AES application and the NAs still operating as ECS-P2 only.   1. The Section IV.1 will become:   **IV.1 Introduction**  The current operational phase ‘Export Control System Phase 2’ (ECS-P2) implements the functionality for Export formalities including the safety and security features, as described in the Community Customs Code (CCC) [R1] and its Implementing Provisions [R2].  AES-P1 is a new phase implementing the new requirements of the Union Customs Code (UCC) [A1] with its Delegated Act (DA) [A3] and Implementing Act (IA) [A11].  A brief description of AES-P1 functionality is provided in section I.I.4. The AES Vision Document [A8] defines the scope of the AES-P1.  As per Transition Strategy from ECS-P2 to AES-P1 [A9], the *Progressive Start of Operation* approach has been selected for the transition from ECS-P2 to AES-P1 to ensure business continuity. This will enable NAs to adapt their development considering the various national constraints (resources, technical, etc.) and trader constraints (offering flexibility to Economic Operators in countries with many Economic Operators), while tackling the incompatibility and major evolution of the processes and messages between ECS-P2 and AES-P1.  At this point, two key terms for this document are introduced: “Legacy” and “To Be” situation during the Transitional Period. Hereafter:   * the term “Legacy” refers to the “Legacy” NAs operating only ECS-P2, in compliance with the CCC and transitional arrangement of the UCC, whereas * the term “To Be” refers to the “To Be” NAs during the Transitional Period supporting “To Be” processes, as specified in the “To Be” DDNXA (please see Scope during Transitional Period in sub-section IV.3) with the applicable restrictions and relaxations during the Transitional Period, and the processing of the movements (including the “Legacy” ones). “To Be” must comply with the Common Domain Protocol Policy during the Transitional Period (please see section IV.5), so as to interoperate and exchange IEs with other NAs, which would be in “Legacy” or “To Be” operational mode. Detailed information about Transition Sequencing for the TES & an NA is provided in section 4.1.3.1 of the ‘Implementation of the Transition from “Legacy” NCTS-P4 & ECS-P2 to “To Be” NCTS-P5 & AES-P1’ document [A9].   IMPORTANT: all “To Be” NAs **must** implement in their National Export Application **all** the required BRTs/TRTs (the BRTs/TRTs mechanism), along with the *Transition Handler* module, regardless of their planned date of entry in AES-P1 operations. This will avoid unnecessary rejections to take place by safeguarding that :   * all movements can be closed properly, even if the movement is processed by a mix of “Legacy” and “To-Be” National Transit Applications, * the end of the Transitional Period is defined dynamically, fully synchronised and applied in a uniform way in all Europe.   The tool for conversion of Common Domain messages developed by DG TAXUD (i.e., the **ieCA** application, operated centrally or nationally) or any **nationally developed convertor** put in place by the “To Be” NAs shall be maintained at least until the end of the Transitional Period, to facilitate the smooth conversion of messages from “Legacy” to “To Be” format and vice versa.  In addition to this section, the AES and NCTS-P5 Transition Implementation Plan [A9] presents and clarifies the time sequencing and planning of the transition strategy. Moreover, it defines the policy for the open movements, analyses the implications for the NAs and the Traders and describes the operation management during the Transitional Period. Finally, it summarises the timeline of the transition.   1. The subsection IV.6 will be modified as defined below:     **IV.5.1. General principles**  The following generic principles must be applied by NA in “To Be” operational mode during TP:   1. ~~Sender NA in “To Be” operational mode shall always perform identification of Recipient NA operational mode prior submission to the Common Domain and check in CS/MIS2 whether the receiving NA is supporting the scenario/IE/State in which the sending NA wishes to engage the receiving NA;~~ 2. ~~Receiving NA in “To Be” operational mode shall check and assign the incoming IE to the system which will be responsible to handle it as per national implementation and on the basis whether it concerns movement record creation (e.g. IE501/IE503) or movement record update (other IEs such as IE510).~~ 3. The *Sending NA* in “To Be” operational mode shall always perform identification of the *Receiving NA*’s operational mode prior to the submission of messages to the Common Domain and it shall check in CS/MIS2 whether the *Receiving NA* is supporting the scenario/IE/State in which the *Sending NA* wishes to engage the *Receiving NA*; 4. The *Sending NA* in “To Be” operational mode shall always perform the conversion of “To Be” messages in “Legacy” format using ieCA (or any national convertor), in case the *Receiving NA* is in “Legacy” operational mode; 5. The *Receiving NA* in “To Be” operational mode – if it decided to maintain the “Legacy” application for closing movements – shall check and assign the incoming IE to the system which will be responsible to handle it as per national implementation and on the basis whether it concerns movement record creation (e.g., IE501, IE503) or movement record update (e.g., IE510 for “Legacy” movements or for “To-Be” movements). 6. If the transition strategy of the *Sending NA* in “To Be” operational mode is to keep synchronised the “Legacy” database and the “To-Be” database, then “Legacy” or “To-Be” messages can be sent to the *Receiving NA* in “To Be” operational mode**;** 7. In all other cases, the messages exchanged between NAs in “To Be” operational mode ***should*** be sent in “To Be” format, the exceptions ***should*** be minimized.   **IV.5.2 Common domain exchange patterns**   | Pattern | Group | Trader operational mode at Sending NA | Sending NA operational mode | Receiving NA operational mode | STD-IE Scope Applicable in the Common Domain (CD) | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 1 | CD exchanges between NAs in “Legacy” | “Legacy” | “Legacy” | “Legacy” | * “Legacy” protocol [R7] | | 2 | CD exchanges between NA in “Legacy” and NA in “To Be” | “Legacy” | “Legacy” | “To Be” | * “Legacy” protocol [R7] | | 3 | “Legacy” / “To Be” | “To Be” | “Legacy” | * “Legacy” protocol [R7] (after *downgrade* conversion performed by the “To Be” NA) | | 4 | CD exchanges between NAs in “To Be” | “Legacy” / “To Be” | “To Be” ~~(sending in “To Be” Interface)~~ | “To Be” | * **~~Error! Reference source not found.~~** ~~(~~**~~Error! Reference source not found.~~**~~)~~ * **~~Error! Reference source not found.~~** ~~(~~**~~Error! Reference source not found.~~**~~)~~   **~~Error! Reference source not found.~~** ~~(~~**~~Error! Reference source not found.~~**~~)~~  - National optimisation in case of synchronisation of ECS-P2/AES databases  Else:  - “To Be” protocol is recommended.  - “Legacy” protocol remains possible. | | ~~5~~ |  | ~~“To Be” (sending in “Legacy” Interface)[[2]](#footnote-3)~~ | ~~“To Be”~~ | ~~“Legacy” protocol [~~**~~Error! Reference source not found.~~**~~]~~ |   **Table 41: Common Domain exchanges patterns during TP**  **IV.6.2 List of CORE and NON-CORE functions**  The UCC Work Programme introduces the concept of Core functions and Non-core functions, with different deadlines for implementation.  The split between the two categories is defined as follows  <<< For layout / readability reasons, the table to be added in DDNXA Main Document cannot be inserted here, but it can be found in page 14 (after the History section) >>>  **IMPACT ASSESSMENT:**  This RFC-Proposal concerns changes in the DDNXA-5.15.1-v1.00. It will likely not impact the Transition Handler of the countries that **will keep synchronised their ECS-P2 and AES-P1 National Applications** after 01.12.2023. It will **certainly not impact** the Transition Handler of the countries that do not maintain their ECS-P2 application after 01.12.2023.  For the NAs having all their traders already active in AES-P1 only, the Transition Handler is most likely not affected.  This RFC-Proposal shall be applied by all NAs   * Either before 01.12.2023 (23:59:59 UTC), for the countries already operating as AES-P1 by that date [It can be deployed by each NA in a flexible way before 01.12.2023], or * Before starting AES-P1 operations, for the countries operating as AES-P1 after 01.12.2023.   **Risk in case of non-implementation:**  If no action is taken by a country operating AES-P1, the ECS-P2 and AES-P1 systems will be no more compatible. The exchanges between the NA not implementing this RFC-List.38 (= NA configured as ‘Post TP’) and all other NAs shall become impossible (i.e., most exchanges in ‘Post TP’ format will be rejected by the ‘Legacy’ NAs and the ‘During TP’ NAs, if the value of ‘TPendDate’ is not modified to include the extended Transitional Period.    If a “To-Be” trader has not defined ‘TPendDate’ as a parameter (i.e., hard-coded value of 01.12.2023), then this trader shall be no more compatible with the rest of the economic operators and with the National Export Application. 🡪 Importance of early communication and extra testing/certification might be required.  **AES-P1**  **Required** date of applicability in Operations (**T-Ops**):   01.12.2023  **Proposed** date of applicability in CT (**T-CT**):                   Not applicable, the TRP (based on DDNXA-5.15.1) is not modified.  **Expected** date of approval by ECCG (**T-CAB**):              NPMs by 25.09.2023 – ECCG of 06/10/2023 |
|  |

**Impact on CI Artefacts**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DDNXA-5.15.1-v1.00 (Main Document)** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **DDNXA shall be modified as described in section 3. The publication of the next DDCOM release shall cover both RFC-List.38 and RFC-List.39.** | |
| **CS/RD2\_DATA** | Cosmetic  Very Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Value of parameter <TPendDate> shall be updated in CL990 of CS/RD2 CONF & CS/RD2 PROD as soon as the RFC-List is approved.** | |
|  |  |
| **CRP-5.8.x** | Cosmetic  Very Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Value of parameter <TPendDate> shall be updated.** | |

**Estimated impact on National Project**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Cosmetic  Very Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | Very low impact, considering the need to re-assess if “To Be” traders did not hard-code the ‘TPendDate’ and will be able to switch to ‘NCTS-P5 full implementation’ by ‘TPendDate’ defined in CS/RD2.  Precise assessment to be done by each National Team. | |

**Document History**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Document History** | | |  |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | ***Comment*** |
| v0.10 | Draft by SOFTDEV | 13/04/2023 | *Draft by SOFTDEV.* |
| v1.00 | SfA to DG TAXUD | 22/06/2023 | *SfA Submission. Updating all sections by implementing DG TAXUD comments.* |
| v1.01 | SfA to DG TAXUD upgraded by TAXUD | 12/07/2023 | Document updated to take into account the working version of UCC Work Programme update (internal draft). |
| v1.02 | SfR to NPM | 26/07/2023 | Document updated to take into account the UCC Work Programme update (version 2 published to ECCG & TCG for Review on 24/07/2023).  **DEADLINE for COMMENTS: 15.08.2023** |
| v2.00 | Internal working document | 24/08/2023 | Draft document implementing the proposed APO |
| v2.01 | Internal working document | 28/08/2023 | Draft document implementing the B3 comments |
| v2.02 | SfA to NPM | 31/08/2023 | Document updated to take into account the comments received from NPMs.  **Published on CIRCABC on 31.08.2023** |
| v3.00 | SfA2 to NPM | 19/09/2023 | Document updated to take into account the comments received from NPMs. |
| v3.01 | SfA2 to NPM | 20/09/2023 | Implementing final corrections / feedback from B1 & B3 teams - Published on CIRCABC on 19.09.2023 |
| v3.01a | Approved by ECCG | 05/10/2023 | Changing file name and adding HEADER to indicate that the RFC-List.38 was **approved by ECCG** (with abstention of Portugal) **on 05.10.2023**.  Changes applied in CS/RD2 (CONF & PROD) on 11.10.2023, with validity date Thursday 12.10.2023 –  **Re-published on CIRCABC on 11.10.2023** |

# AES-P1 Core and Non-core functions

The below table defines what are the Core and Non-Core functions of AES-P1. The functions are listed in the order of the scenario ID as described in the DDNXA. The AES functions were split in three groups, visible with different colours, to signal different deadlines for implementation ae per UCC WP.

The colour-code is as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | AES Component 1 Step 1 - Core functions |
|  | AES Component 1 Step 2 - Non-core functions (Link AES-EMCS) |
|  | AES Component 1 Step 3 and AES Component 2 - Other non-core functions |

| **Scenario ID** | **Scenario group (L2)** | **Scenarios (L3)** | **Functionality Clusters** | **CORE/ NON-CORE (LINK AES-EMCS)/NON-CORE** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| E-EXP-CFL-M-001 | Core flow | E-EXP-CFL-M-001 Core flow | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EXP-A-001 | Export specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXP-A-001 Control at Export with release for Export (Standard declaration) | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EXP-A-002 | Export specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXP-A-002 Control at Export with release for Export refused | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EXP-A-003 | Export specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXP-A-003 Declaration submission prior to presentation | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-EXP-A-004 | Export specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXP-A-004 Correction of the pre-lodged declaration prior to presentation of goods | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-EXP-A-005 | Export specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXP-A-005 Cancellation of the pre-lodged declaration prior to presentation of goods | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-EXP-E-001 | Export specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXP-E-001 Declaration submission prior to presentation with timer expiry | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-EXP-A-006 | Export specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXP-A-006 Declaration submission prior to presentation with invalid presentation notification | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-EXP-A-007 | Export specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXP-A-007 Export and Exit when the Customs Office of Export is the Customs Office of Exit | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EXP-E-002 | Export specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXP-E-002 Rejection of declaration | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EXP-A-008 | Export specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXP-A-008 Declaration amendment accepted | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EXP-E-003 | Export specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXP-E-003 Declaration amendment rejected | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-CCE-M-001 | Centralised Clearance | E-EXP-CCE-M-001 SCO recommends pre-release - No controls at SCO and PCO | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-CCE-A-001 | Centralised Clearance | E-EXP-CCE-A-001 SCO recommends pre-release - Satisfactory/considered satisfactory control results at PCO | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-CCE-A-002 | Centralised Clearance | E-EXP-CCE-A-002 SCO recommends pre-release - Unsatisfactory control results at PCO | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-CCE-E-001 | Centralised Clearance | E-EXP-CCE-E-001 SCO recommends pre-release – Expiry of timer for receiving control decision from PCO | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-CCE-A-003 | Centralised Clearance | E-EXP-CCE-A-003 SCO recommends control at PCO – Satisfactory/Considered satisfactory control results at PCO | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-CCE-A-004 | Centralised Clearance | E-EXP-CCE-A-004 SCO recommends control at PCO - Unsatisfactory control results at PCO | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-CCE-E-002 | Centralised Clearance | E-EXP-CCE-E-002 SCO recommends control at PCO - PCO decides not to perform any control | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-CCE-E-003 | Centralised Clearance | E-EXP-CCE-E-003 SCO recommends control at PCO - Expiry of timer for receiving control decision from PCO | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-CCE-A-005 | Centralised Clearance | E-EXP-CCE-A-005 Unsatisfactory documentary control results at SCO | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-CCE-A-006 | Centralised Clearance | E-EXP-CCE-A-006 Declaration amendment accepted under centralised clearance | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-INV-A-001 | Declaration Invalidation | E-EXP-INV-A-001 Invalidation by Trader before release of the movement for Export | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-INV-A-002 | Declaration Invalidation | E-EXP-INV-A-002 Invalidation requested by Trader for a Released Movement | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-INV-A-003 | Declaration Invalidation | E-EXP-INV-A-003 Invalidation initiated by the Customs Officer at Export | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-INV-E-001 | Declaration Invalidation | E-EXP-INV-E-001 Invalidation requested by Trader before the release of the movement for export refused | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-INV-A-004 | Declaration Invalidation | E-EXP-INV-A-004 Invalidation requested by Trader for a released movement refused | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-INV-A-005 | Declaration Invalidation | E-EXP-INV-A-005 Invalidation with goods under excise duty suspension arrangement | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE(LINK AES-EMCS) |
| E-EXP-INV-A-006 | Declaration Invalidation | E-EXP-INV-A-006 Invalidation of an export declaration lodged under centralised clearance | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-INV-A-007 | Declaration Invalidation | E-EXP-INV-A-007 Invalidation with Supplementary Declaration lodged under centralised clearance | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-INV-A-008 | Declaration Invalidation | E-EXP-INV-A-008 Invalidation when the Customs Office of Export is the Customs Office of Exit | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-INV-A-009 | Declaration Invalidation | E-EXP-INV-A-009 Invalidation requested by Trader for a Released Movement refused by the Customs Office of Exit | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-SSD-M-001 | Simplified and Supplementary Declaration | E-EXP-SSD-M-001 Simplified Declaration | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-SSD-A-001 | Simplified and Supplementary Declaration | E-EXP-SSD-A-001 Control at Export with release for Export (Simplified Declaration) | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-SSD-A-002 | Simplified and Supplementary Declaration | E-EXP-SSD-A-002 Recording of Supplementary Declaration | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-SSD-E-001 | Simplified and Supplementary Declaration | E-EXP-SSD-E-001 Rejection of Supplementary Declaration | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-SSD-E-002 | Simplified and Supplementary Declaration | E-EXP-SSD-E-002 Extension/Expiry of the timer for lodgement of Supplementary Declaration | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-SSD-A-003 | Simplified and Supplementary Declaration | E-EXP-SSD-A-003 Recording of Supplementary Declaration under centralised clearance | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-GUE-M-001 | Goods under Excise duty suspension arrangement | E-EXP-GUE-M-001 Core flow with goods under excise duty suspension arrangement | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE(LINK AES-EMCS) |
| E-EXP-GUE-E-001 | Goods under Excise duty suspension arrangement | E-EXP-GUE-E-001 Rejection of declaration with goods under excise duty suspension arrangement due to e-AD request rejection | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE(LINK AES-EMCS) |
| E-EXP-GUE-E-002 | Goods under Excise duty suspension arrangement | E-EXP-GUE-E-002 Rejection of declaration with goods under excise duty suspension arrangement due to negative cross-check | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE(LINK AES-EMCS) |
| E-EXP-GUE-A-001 | Goods under Excise duty suspension arrangement | E-EXP-GUE-A-001 Control at Export with release for Export when goods are under excise duty suspension arrangement | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE(LINK AES-EMCS) |
| E-EXP-GUE-A-002 | Goods under Excise duty suspension arrangement | E-EXP-GUE-A-002 Control at Export with release for Export refused when goods are under excise duty suspension arrangement | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE(LINK AES-EMCS) |
| E-EXP-GUE-A-003 | Goods under Excise duty suspension arrangement | E-EXP-GUE-A-003 Control at Exit with release for Exit refused when goods are under excise duty suspension arrangement | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE(LINK AES-EMCS) |
| E-EXP-GUE-A-004 | Goods under Excise duty suspension arrangement | E-EXP-GUE-A-004 Declaration submission prior to presentation when goods are under excise duty suspension arrangement | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-GUE-A-005 | Goods under Excise duty suspension arrangement | E-EXP-GUE-A-005 Correction of the pre-lodged declaration prior to presentation of goods when goods are under excise duty suspension arrangement | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-GUE-A-006 | Goods under Excise duty suspension arrangement | E-EXP-GUE-A-006 Cancellation of the pre-lodged declaration prior to presentation of goods when goods are under excise duty suspension arrangement | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-GUE-E-003 | Goods under Excise duty suspension arrangement | E-EXP-GUE-E-003 Declaration submission prior to presentation with timer expiry when goods are under excise duty suspension arrangement | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-GUE-A-007 | Goods under Excise duty suspension arrangement | E-EXP-GUE-A-007 Declaration amendment accepted when goods are under excise duty suspension arrangement | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE(LINK AES-EMCS) |
| E-EXP-GUE-A-008 | Goods under Excise duty suspension arrangement | E-EXP-GUE-A-008 Certification of Exit in the enquiry procedure with goods under excise duty suspension arrangement | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE(LINK AES-EMCS) |
| E-EXP-EXT-E-001 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXT-E-001 Rejection of arrival notification | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EXT-A-001 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXT-A-001 Control at Exit with release for Exit | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EXT-A-002 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXT-A-002 Control at Exit with release for Exit refused | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EXT-A-003 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXT-A-003 Arrival at Exit registered by customs officer | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EXT-A-004 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXT-A-004 Exit after Storing | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EXT-A-005 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXT-A-005 Exit after reception of multiple manifests | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EXT-E-002 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXT-E-002 Rejection of Manifest | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EXT-A-006 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXP-EXT-A-006 Exit information available through other systems | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EFT-M-001 | Export Followed by Transit | E-EXP-EFT-M-001 Core Flow of the Export Followed by Transit – External Transit | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-EFT-M-002 | Export Followed by Transit | E-EXP-EFT-M-002 Core Flow of the Export Followed by Transit – Internal Transit | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-EFT-A-001 | Export Followed by Transit | E-EXP-EFT-A-001 Lodgement of Transit Declaration having Export as Previous Procedure – Negative response from Office of Exit (before acceptance of the transit declaration) | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-EFT-A-002 | Export Followed by Transit | E-EXP-EFT-A-002 Lodgement of Transit Declaration having Export as Previous Procedure – Unknown Export MRN and Positive IE503 | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-EFT-A-003 | Export Followed by Transit | E-EXP-EFT-A-003 Amendment of a Transit declaration | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-EFT-A-007 | Export Followed by Transit | E-EXP-EFT-A-007 Invalidation by Transit or Not released for Transit | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-EFT-A-004 | Export Followed by Transit | E-EXP-EFT-A-004 Departure notifies Office of Exit for non appropriate Office of Destination – Release for Exit by alternative evidence | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-EFT-A-005 | Export Followed by Transit | E-EXP-EFT-A-005 Departure notifies Office of Exit for non appropriate Office of Destination – Invalidation due to lack of or insufficient alternative evidence | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-EFT-A-008 | Export Followed by Transit | E-EXP-EFT-A-008 Departure notifies Office of Exit of unsatisfactory destination control results - Release for Exit by alternative evidence | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-EFT-A-009 | Export Followed by Transit | E-EXP-EFT-A-009 Departure notifies Office of Exit of unsatisfactory destination control results - Invalidation due to lack of or insufficient alternative evidence | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-EFT-A-006 | Export Followed by Transit | E-EXP-EFT-A-006 Departure notifies Office of Exit of the initiation of recovery | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-DIV-M-001 | Diversions | E-EXP-DIV-M-001 International Diversion Accepted | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-DIV-A-001 | Diversions | E-EXP-DIV-A-001 International Diversion Rejected | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-DIV-A-002 | Diversions | E-EXP-DIV-A-002 Multiple Diversions | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-QMI-M-001 | Query Movement Information | E-EXP-QMI-M-001 Movement Information available | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-QMI-E-001 | Query Movement Information | E-EXP-QMI-E-001 Movement Information unavailable | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-ENQ-M-001 | Enquiry Procedure | E-EXP-ENQ-M-001 Expiry of time limit to receive exit results - Exit Results received after Enquiry Procedure | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-ENQ-A-001 | Enquiry Procedure | E-EXP-ENQ-A-001 Expiry of time limit to receive exit results – Confirmation of exit by Alternative Evidence (Enquiry information code: “Exited-Alternative Evidence”) | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-ENQ-E-001 | Enquiry Procedure | E-EXP-ENQ-E-001 Expiry of time limit to receive exit results – Invalid Enquiry information/Insufficient Alternative Evidence, if any | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-ENQ-A-002 | Enquiry Procedure | E-EXP-ENQ-A-002 Expiry of timer to receive exit results - Invalidation after expiry of time limit to receive Alternative Evidence | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-ENQ-A-003 | Enquiry Procedure | E-EXP-ENQ-A-003 Expiry of time limit to receive exit results – Enquiry information code: “Expected to Exit” | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-ENQ-A-004 | Enquiry Procedure | E-EXP-ENQ-A-004 Expiry of time limit to receive exit results – Enquiry information code: “Will not exit” | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-ENQ-A-005 | Enquiry Procedure | E-EXP-ENQ-A-005 Expiry of time limit to receive exit results after international diversion occurred - Exit Results received after Enquiry Procedure | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-ENQ-A-006 | Enquiry Procedure | E-EXP-ENQ-A-006 Trader sends Enquiry Information on his/her own initiative (Enquiry information code: “Exited-Alternative Evidence” or “Exited-No Alternative Evidence”) - Exit Results received after Enquiry Procedure | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-ENQ-A-007 | Enquiry Procedure | E-EXP-ENQ-A-007 Trader sends Enquiry Information on his/her own initiative (Enquiry information code: “Exited-Alternative Evidence”) - Confirmation of exit by Alternative Evidence | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-ENQ-A-008 | Enquiry Procedure | E-EXP-ENQ-A-008 Trader sends Enquiry Information on his/her own initiative (Enquiry information code: “Exited-Alternative Evidence”) - Insufficient Alternative Evidence | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-ENQ-A-009 | Enquiry Procedure | E-EXP-ENQ-A-009 Trader sends Enquiry Information on his/her own initiative (Enquiry information code: “Exited-No Alternative Evidence”) – No Release for Exit at the Customs Office of Exit | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-ENQ-E-002 | Enquiry Procedure | E-EXP-ENQ-E-002 Trader sends Enquiry Information on his/her own initiative (Enquiry information code: “Exited-Alternative Evidence” or “Exited-No Alternative Evidence”) - Invalid Enquiry Information | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EMS-M-001 | Exceptions of message sequencing in the Common Domain | E-EXP-EMS-M-001 Status request/response | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EMS-A-001 | Exceptions of message sequencing in the Common Domain | E-EXP-EMS-A-001 Status request/response with release for exit | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EMS-A-002 | Exceptions of message sequencing in the Common Domain | E-EXP-EMS-A-002 AER missing | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXS-CFL-M-001 | Core Flow | E-EXS-CFL-M-001 Core Flow | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | NON-CORE |
| E-EXS-LDG-A-001 | Lodgement specific scenarios | E-EXS-LDG-A-001 EXS lodged at another customs office | Existing processes upgraded under UCC to be applied at the end of the Transition | NON-CORE |
| E-EXS-LDG-E-001 | Lodgement specific scenarios | E-EXS-LDG-E-001 Declaration rejected | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | NON-CORE |
| E-EXS-EXT-E-001 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXS-EXT-E-001 Rejection of arrival notification | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | NON-CORE |
| E-EXS-EXT-A-001 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXS-EXT-A-001 EXS Amendment Accepted | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | NON-CORE |
| E-EXS-EXT-E-002 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXS-EXT-E-002 EXS Amendment Rejected | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | NON-CORE |
| E-EXS-EXT-A-002 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXS-EXT-A-002 Control at Exit with release for Exit | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | NON-CORE |
| E-EXS-EXT-A-003 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXS-EXT-A-003 Control at Exit with release for Exit refused | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | NON-CORE |
| E-EXS-EXT-A-004 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXS-EXT-A-004 Arrival at Exit registered by customs officer | Existing processes upgraded under UCC to be applied at the end of the Transition | NON-CORE |
| E-EXS-EXT-E-003 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXS-EXT-E-003 Exit notification not received | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | NON-CORE |
| E-EXS-EXT-E-004 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXS-EXT-E-004 Initial manifest rejected | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | NON-CORE |
| E-EXS-EXT-A-005 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXS-EXT-A-005 Exit after Storing | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | NON-CORE |
| E-EXS-EXT-A-006 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXS-EXT-A-006 Exit after reception of multiple manifests | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | NON-CORE |
| E-EXS-EXT-A-007 | Exit specific scenarios | E-EXS-EXT-A-007 Exit information available through other systems | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | NON-CORE |
| E-EXS-DIV-M-001 | Diversions | E-EXS-DIV-M-001 Diversion Accepted | Existing processes upgraded under UCC to be applied at the end of the Transition | NON-CORE |
| E-EXS-DIV-Α-001 | Diversions | E-EXS-DIV-Α-001 Diversion Rejected | Existing processes upgraded under UCC to be applied at the end of the Transition | NON-CORE |
| E-EXS-INV-A-001 | Exit Summary Declaration Invalidation | E-EXS-INV-A-001 Invalidation requested by Trader | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | NON-CORE |
| E-EXS-INV-E-001 | Exit Summary Declaration Invalidation | E-EXS-INV-E-001 Invalidation requested by Trader refused | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | NON-CORE |
| E-REN-CFL-M-001 | Core flow | E-REN-CFL-M-001 Core flow | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-REN-REG-E-001 | Registration specific scenarios | E-REN-REG-E-001 Rejection of Re-Export Notification | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-REN-REG-A-001 | Registration specific scenarios | E-REN-REG-A-001 Control at Exit with release for Exit | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-REN-REG-Α-002 | Registration specific scenarios | E-REN-REG-Α-002 Control at Exit with release for Exit refused | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-REN-REG-A-003 | Registration specific scenarios | E-REN-REG-A-003 Re-Export Notification amendment accepted | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-REN-REG-E-002 | Registration specific scenarios | E-REN-REG-E-002 Re-Export Notification amendment rejected | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-REN-EXT-E-001 | Exit specific scenarios | E-REN-EXT-E-001 Exit Notification not received | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-REN-EXT-A-001 | Exit specific scenarios | E-REN-EXT-A-001 Exit after Storing | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-REN-EXT-E-002 | Exit specific scenarios | E-REN-EXT-E-002 Initial manifest rejected | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-REN-EXT-A-002 | Exit specific scenarios | E-REN-EXT-A-002 Exit after reception of multiple manifests | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-REN-EXT-E-003 | Exit specific scenarios | E-REN-EXT-E-003 Rejection of exit notification | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-REN-EXT-A-003 | Exit specific scenarios | E-REN-EXT-A-003 Exit information available through other systems | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-REN-INV-A-001 | Re-Export Notification Invalidation | E-REN-INV-A-001 Invalidation requested by Trader | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-REN-INV-E-001 | Re-Export Notification Invalidation | E-REN-INV-E-001 Invalidation requested by Trader refused | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-INV-A-TP-009 |  | E-EXP-INV-A-TP-009 Invalidation requested by Trader for a Released Movement refused by the Customs Office of Exit | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EFT-A-TP-004 |  | E-EXP-EFT-A-TP-004 Departure notifies Office of Exit for non-appropriate Office of Destination – Release for Exit by alternative evidence | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-EFT-A-TP-005 |  | E-EXP-EFT-A-TP-005 Departure notifies Office of Exit for non appropriate Office of Destination – Invalidation due to lack of or insufficient alternative evidence | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-QMI-E-TP-001 |  | E-EXP-QMI-E-TP-001 Movement Information unavailable | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| ECSP2-EXP-ENQ-TP |  | ECSP2-EXP-ENQ-TP-Follow-Up with exit resumed | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| ECSP2-EXP-ENQ-TP |  | ECSP2-EXP-ENQ-TP-Follow-Up with negative response | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| E-EXP-EFT-A-TP-006 |  | E-EXP-EFT-A-TP-006 Departure notifies Office of Exit of unsatisfactory destination control results - Release for Exit by alternative evidence | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| E-EXP-EFT-A-TP-007 |  | E-EXP-EFT-A-TP-007 Departure notifies Office of Exit of unsatisfactory destination control results - Invalidation due to lack of or insufficient alternative evidence | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| Exception Handling |  |  | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |
| Exception Handling2 |  |  | New processes between “To Be” countries | NON-CORE |
| Exception Handling3 |  |  | Existing processes upgraded under UCC to be applied at the end of the Transition | NON-CORE |
| Pre-Transition |  |  | Mandatory Existing processes (continuity) | CORE |

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

= = = = End of RFC-List.38 = = =

1. The draft UCC WP Revision and the IRTA documents are expected to be communicated by DG TAXUD to the National Administrations before the ECCG of 5-6 October 2023. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. If IE is produced from “Legacy” system of NA, then it is highly strongly recommended to use the native format (“Legacy” interface) to minimize conversion needs. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)